Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,153	ALVAREZ-GOMARIZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phallaka Kik	2825
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)	
(2) David C. Peterson (Reg. No. 47,857).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 September 2005	Time: <u>3:45PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-61		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Phallab /last		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims are subject to restriction requirements, wherein invention I, claims 1-32, drawn to methods/machine readable medium/pattern generating system involving analyzing optical behavior within the ring-like region; invention II, claims 33-37, drawn to method for solving a system of equations to select a point within at least one of the intersecting regions; and invention III, claims 38-61, drawn to methods/machine readable medium involving identifying a number of second regions overlapping the first regions as part of the reticle forming/optical behavior analysis. These inventions are related as subcombinations usable together but have separate utility. Since the Examiner was unable to reach Applicant's Representative, this message was left on Applicant's Representative's voice box, requesting to call back to elect the invention. Since Applicant's Representative failed to respond, a written restriction will be given.